

**APPROVED MINUTES  
KING WILLIAM COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
REGULAR MEETING OF MARCH 28, 2022**

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, was held on the 28th day of March 2022, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building and via Zoom.

**Agenda Item 1. CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Moren called the meeting to order.

**Agenda Item 2. ROLL CALL**

The members were polled:

Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**Agenda Item 3. MOMENT OF SILENCE**

The Chairman called for a moment of silence.

**Agenda Item 4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Chairman led the pledge of allegiance.

**Agenda Item 5. REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF AMENDED MEETING AGENDA**

Supervisor Garber moved for the adoption of the amended agenda for this meeting as presented; motion was seconded by Supervisor Moskalski. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

## **Agenda Item 6. PUBLIC COMMENT**

Chairman Moren opened the Public Comment period.

Jeanette Wagner of the 5<sup>th</sup> District reminded the Board to announce dog leash restrictions which go into effect from April 1<sup>st</sup> through May 31<sup>st</sup> throughout the County. She noted that “owner” is defined in statute as anyone who has the animal in their care including the owner, custodian, guardian, people caring for strays, etc. She also reminded people to have their animals receive a rabies shot. With respect to the proposed changes to the Dangerous Dog ordinance, she would like to see the word “custodian” remain.

Lisa Mason of the 2<sup>nd</sup> District said she didn’t understand how you could get a dog chipped in three days which the ordinance calls for. She said the shelter doesn’t do it and you can’t get an appointment at a vet in that short a time.

Chris Couch of the 5<sup>th</sup> District spoke on behalf of a group of concerned local businesses. He questioned the value of the County Attorney and said there are better options. He said the cost discourages staff to consult legal counsel. He said the County’s contract with Sands Anderson is vague and full of loose terms which benefit the firm. In particular, with regards to fee amounts, the contract says, “subject to change from time to time.” He asked if the Board of Supervisors received legal notice of the recently increased fees. He said the contract also allows for reimbursement of expenses of any kind and character including mileage and copies at \$0.25 per page. He said FedEx and Kinko’s charge less than that – closer to \$0.02-\$0.05 per page. He asked if the County is provided mileage logs and documentation to support the copy prices. He questioned why Sands Anderson was not required to have a County business license when other contractors working in the County do need one.

Bob Ehrhart of District 5 submitted a written comment included in these minutes as Attachment A.

There being no further speakers, the Chairman closed the Public Comment period.

## **Agenda Item 7. CONSENT AGENDA**

Consent Agenda items were:

- a. Approval of Minutes:
  - i. February 14, 2022 Joint Work Session w/Planning Commission & EDA Draft Minutes
  - ii. February 22, 2022 Budget Work Session Draft Minutes
  - iii. February 28, 2022 Regular Meeting Draft Minutes
  - iv. March 2, 2022 Budget Work Session Draft Minutes

- v. March 8, 2022 Joint Budget Work Session w/KWCPS Draft Minutes
- b. Approval of Expenditures - February 2022
- c. **Resolution 22-18** - Proclamation Celebrating the 320th Anniversary of King William County
- d. **Resolution 22-20** - Recognition and Appreciation of Emergency Management Professionals During Emergency Management Professionals Week

Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of the Consent Agenda; motion was seconded by Supervisor Garber. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**RESOLUTION 22-18**  
**COMMEMORATION OF THE THREE-HUNDRED-TWENTIETH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF KING WILLIAM COUNTY**

**WHEREAS**, on October 2, 1701, the Virginia House of Burgesses passed and Governor Frances Nicholson assented to the enabling act creating a distinct county from the Pamunkey Neck portion of King and Queen County, which is the land that lies between the Mattaponi and Pamunkey Rivers; and

**WHEREAS**, effective April 11, 1702, the area known as Pamunkey Neck became King William County, the twenty-fourth county then existing in Virginia; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County was named for William of Orange, the reigning monarch of England, Scotland, and Ireland, known as King William III, who ruled from 1689-1702; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County Courthouse, circa 1725, is considered one of the finest examples of early colonial brickwork and courthouse design and is the oldest courthouse in continuous use in the United States and the oldest public building in Virginia; and

**WHEREAS**, Lieutenant Governor Alexander Spotswood and the Knights of the Golden Horseshoe embarked in 1716 on their expedition to the Blue Ridge Mountains from Chelsea Plantation in King William County; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County was home to Carter Braxton, III, signer of the Declaration of Independence, from 1777-1786; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County was the birthplace in 1898 of Lieutenant General Lewis B. “Chestly” Puller, the most decorated U.S. Marine in the Corp’s history; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County is the home of three Native American tribes – the Mattaponi, the Pamunkey, and the Upper Mattaponi – which were part of Chief Powhatan’s Confederacy and is also home to the only two Native American reservations in Virginia – the Mattaponi and the Pamunkey – which are also the two oldest reservations in the United States; and

**WHEREAS**, during the 1720’s two counties, Caroline and Spotsylvania, were formed in part from King William County; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County held a Tricentennial Celebration with numerous activities throughout 2002 to celebrate King William County’s three hundredth anniversary milestone; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County’s three hundred twentieth anniversary will take place on April 11, 2022 and will be celebrated with a birthday cake in the County Administration Building, tours of the King William Historical Society Museum, and an Archaeology Day at the Tavern site next to the Historic Courthouse;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the King William County Board of Supervisors joins with the good citizens of King William County in the commemoration and celebration of the County’s three hundred twentieth birthday; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the King William County Board of Supervisors hereby acknowledges and commemorates the significant role that King William County has played in the history and development of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the United States of America; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the King William County Board of Supervisors hereby acknowledges and expresses their appreciation to the King William Historical Society for their efforts in preserving, discovering, and presenting the history of the County and for contributing their knowledge in order to educate the public; and

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that a copy of this Resolution expressing the sense of the King William County Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be conveyed to the citizens of King William County and members of the King William Historical Society and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

**DONE** this 28th day of March, 2022.

#### **RESOLUTION 22-20**

#### **RECOGNITION AND APPRECIATION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PROFESSIONALS DURING EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PROFESSIONALS WEEK**

**WHEREAS**, the Commonwealth of Virginia has established a state program of emergency management and requires localities to have emergency management programs to address the array of threats and hazards to which the people of the Commonwealth are vulnerable; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management protects communities by coordinating and integrating all activities necessary to build, sustain, and improve the capability to mitigate

against, prepare for, respond to, and recover from threatened or actual natural disasters and acts of terrorism and other man-made disasters; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management professionals play an under-recognized yet indispensable role in providing the leadership, management, and operational skills necessary to enable their communities, organizations, and agencies to develop emergency plans and procedures, ensure personnel are adequately trained and exercise plans, provide emergency warnings, notifications, and alerts, and acquire resources to protect lives and property and enhance resiliency to emergency events; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management professionals direct or support emergency operations, maintain dedicated emergency operations centers in 24/7 readiness, and actively serve operationally throughout the cycle of emergency events; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management professionals communicate and coordinate with a broad network of partners at the federal, state, regional, and local levels of government, in the private sector, and at nongovernmental organizations and with the general public; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management professionals possess extensive knowledge of the hazards and risks that threaten the Commonwealth and its communities, the specific programs and strategies available to address them, applicable federal, state, and local laws and compliance guidelines, and the roles of critical partners essential to effective preparedness, response, and disaster recovery; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management professionals assist allied professionals in the medical community and in emergency response, business, nonprofit, and faith organizations to achieve preparedness, response, and recovery capabilities; and

**WHEREAS**, a well-prepared and resilient community is the ultimate goal of emergency management; and

**WHEREAS**, emergency management professionals serve as the critical link between government and those they serve; public outreach and public education undergird the effectiveness of all emergency management efforts; and

**WHEREAS**, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Virginia has designated the third week in March as Emergency Management Professionals Week in Virginia;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the King William County Board of Supervisors is proud to honor the service and commitment of King William County emergency management professionals and recognize their contributions to the lives, health, and safety of all who live, visit, and do business in the County.

**DONE** this 28th day of March, 2022.

### **Agenda Item 8. PRESENTATIONS**

Vice Chair Hodges read the Proclamation Celebrating the 320<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of King William County and the Board presented a framed copy to the King William Historical Society

members present – Mr. & Mrs. Robert Hubbard, Mr. Carl Fischer, Ms. Kathy Morrison, and Mr. John Breeden.

Supervisor Moskalski read Resolution 22-20 - Recognition and Appreciation of Emergency Management Professionals During Emergency Management Professionals Week and the Board presented a framed copy to representatives from King William Fire & EMS, West Point Volunteer Fire & Rescue, Walkerton Community Fire Association, and Mangohick Volunteer Fire Department.

**Agenda Item 9. PUBLIC HEARING**

**9.a. Ordinance 02-22 - Approving the Proposed Redistricting Maps - Amending Ordinance 12-05 to Adjust the Boundary Lines of the Second, Third, Fourth, and Fifth Election Districts and Updating King William County Code Article II School Board, Division 2 Elections, §54-52 -Election Districts Enumerated, and §54-55 - Enumeration of Polling Places**

Chairman Moren opened the Public Hearing. There being no speakers, Chairman Moren closed the Public Hearing.

Supervisor Moskalski made a motion to approve Ordinance 02-22; Supervisor Garber seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**ORDINANCE 02-22**

**AMENDING ORDINANCE 12-05 TO ADJUST THE BOUNDARY LINES OF THE SECOND, THIRD, FOURTH, AND FIFTH ELECTION DISTRICTS AND UPDATING KING WILLIAM COUNTY CODE ARTICLE II SCHOOL BOARD, DIVISION 2 ELECTIONS, SECTIONS 54-52 ELECTION DISTRICTS ENUMERATED, AND 54-55 ENUMERATION OF POLLING PLACES**

**WHEREAS**, the King William County Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance 12-05 on June 25, 2012 which delineated King William County’s Election District Boundaries; and

**WHEREAS**, decennial redistricting is required following the U.S. Census Bureau’s 2020 Census in accordance with Virginia Code §24.2-304.1 (B) and §24.2-304.1 (C); and

**WHEREAS**, populations within each district have changed since the 2010 Census causing unequal apportionment between the districts; and

**WHEREAS**, adjustments of boundaries are required to bring each district’s population within acceptable equality parameters;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED AND ENACTED** by the Board of Supervisors of King William County this 28th day of March, 2022 that Ordinance 02-22 amending Ordinance 12-05 is hereby enacted to read as follows:

**AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE APPORTIONMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE KING WILLIAM COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, TO ALTER BOUNDARY LINES OF THE ELECTION DISTRICTS, AND TO DESIGNATE EACH ELECTION DISTRICT AND PRECINCT**

**Section 1.** Pursuant to the authority contained in the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, the Election Districts of King William County, Virginia, are hereby altered and established as set forth in this Ordinance.

**Section 2.** The Election Districts with populations set forth are as follows:

<u>DISTRICT</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>
First Election District	<del>3,306</del> 3,414
Second Election District	<del>3,067</del> 3,671
Third Election District	<del>3,235</del> 3,600
Fourth Election District	<del>3,058</del> 3,411
Fifth Election District	<del>3,269</del> 3,714

**First Election District**

***- West Point Precinct***

The boundaries of the West Point Precinct shall be the corporate limits of the Town of West Point as described in an order of the Circuit Court of King William County entered on the ninth day of December, 1963, and of record in the clerk’s office of that Court in Chancery Order Book 13, page 216 and as those limits were enlarged by the voluntary boundary agreement approved on the twenty-third day of August, 1999, by the Board of Supervisors of King William County and approved on the eighth day of September, 1999, by the Town Council of the Town of West Point.

**Second Election District**

***- Courthouse Precinct***

From the intersection of the Pamunkey River and an unnamed tributary of the Pamunkey River which forms the boundary of Census Blocks 3015 and 3037, then following the boundary of the aforementioned Census Blocks easterly to its intersection with the boundary between Census Blocks 3015 and 3041, then following the boundary between Census Blocks 3041 and 3037 easterly to its intersection with East River Road (Rt. 600). ~~2201 and 2212,~~ approximately 350 yards southwest of the terminus of Green Level Road, then following the boundary of the aforementioned Census Blocks to its intersection with Green Level Road, then northeasterly following the centerline of Green Level Road to its intersection with Mt. Olive-Cohoke Road (Rt. 632), then northwesterly following the centerline of Mt. Olive-Cohoke Road northeasterly to its intersection with Jacks Creek Road (Rt. 629) continuing northeasterly along the centerline of Jacks Creek Road to its intersection with Acquinton Church Road (Rt. 618), then northwesterly following the centerline of Acquinton Church

Road to its intersection with East River Road (Rt. 600). Then following northerly along the centerline of East River Road (Rt. 600) to its intersection with King William Road (Rt. 30). Then following the centerline of King William Road (Rt. 30) northwesterly until its intersection with Indian Church Road (Rt. 658) at the boundary between Census Blocks 4028 and 4030. Then following the boundary of Census Block 4030 northerly then easterly along an unnamed tributary of the Mattaponi River which forms the boundary of Census Block 4030 until its intersection with an unnamed tributary of the Mattaponi River forming the boundary between Census Blocks 4012 and 4011. Then following the boundary of the aforementioned Census Blocks northerly to its intersection with West River Road (Rt. 600). Then following the center line of West River Road (Rt. 600) northerly to its intersection with Poplar Landing Road. Then following the centerline of Poplar Landing Road northeasterly to its intersection with Aylett Creek. Then following the centerline of Aylett Creek southerly to its intersection with the Mattaponi River. Then crossing King William Road (Rt. 30) and continuing along the centerline of West River Road (Rt. 600) to its intersection of census block 4070 and following an unnamed tributary of the Mattaponi River. Then following that boundary westerly to its intersection with the Mattaponi River. Then following the centerline of the Mattaponi River downstream, southeasterly to its intersection with the Mattaponi Indian Reservation's southern boundary which is the boundary between Census Blocks 2062 2012 and 2041 2019, then following that boundary westerly to its intersection with Indian Town Road (Rt. 625), then following the centerline of Indian Town Road southerly to its intersection with East Rose Garden Road (Rt. 626), then following the centerline of East Rose Garden Road (Rt. 626) westerly to its intersection with King William Road. Then following centerline of West Rose Garden Road (Rt. 626) to its intersection with Powhatan Trail (Rt. 633), and then along the centerline of Powhatan Trail to the Pamunkey River. Then following the centerline of the Pamunkey River northwesterly to its intersection with unnamed tributary of the Pamunkey River which forms the boundary of Census Blocks 3015 and 3037.

***-Sweet Hall Precinct***

From the Mattaponi Indian Reservation's southern boundary which is the boundary between Census Blocks 2062 2012 and 2041 2019, then following the centerline of the Mattaponi River downstream, southeasterly to its intersection with the unnamed tributary of the Mattaponi River that forms the West Point Town boundary between Census Blocks 1007 and 1003. boundary of Census Blocks 1011 and 1007, then following that boundary southerly to its intersection with Geron Lane, then following the centerline of Geron Lane to its intersection with Chelsea Road (Rt. 635), then following the centerline of Chelsea Road northwesterly to its intersection with the West Point town boundary then following the town boundary southwestly to its intersection with VFW Road (Rt. 636) then following the centerline of VFW Road southeasterly to its southern intersection with the West Point town boundary Then following the town boundary southwestly to its intersection with the Pamunkey River, then northwesterly following the centerline of the Pamunkey River to Lester Manor Landing, then following the centerline of Powhatan Trail (Rt. 633) to its intersection with West Rose Garden Road (Rt. 626), then following the centerline of West Rose Garden Road northeast to its intersection with King William Road (Rt. 30). Then following the centerline of King William Road, northwesterly, to its intersection with East

Rose Garden Road, then following the centerline of East Rose Garden Road, northerly, to its intersection with Indian Town Road, then following the centerline of Indian Town Road, northerly, to its intersection with the Mattaponi Indian Reservation's southern boundary which is the boundary between Census Block ~~2005 and 2087~~ **2012 and 2019**.

**Third Election District**

***-Aylett Precinct***

From the confluence of Herring Creek and the Mattaponi River, westerly along the centerline of Herring Creek, then westerly along the centerline of Millwood Rd. (Rt. 607) to the intersection with Upshaw Rd. (Rt. 608), then following the centerline of Upshaw Road to the intersection with Vessels Road (Rt. 659). Then southerly along the centerline of Vessels Road (Rt. 659) along boundary line of census block ~~3018~~ **3011** to centerline of Cherry Hill Drive (Rt. 1225) to its intersection with King William Road (Rt. 30) Then southeasterly along the centerline of King William Road (Rt. 30) to its intersection with ~~West River Road (Rt. 600)~~ **Indian Church Road (Rt. XX) at the boundary between Census Blocks 4028 and 4030.** Then following the boundary of Census Block 4030 northerly then easterly along an unnamed tributary of the Mattaponi River which forms the boundary of Census Block 4030 until its intersection with an unnamed tributary of the Mattaponi River forming the boundary between Census Blocks 4012 and 4011. Then following the boundary of the aforementioned Census Blocks northerly to its intersection with West River Road (Rt. 600). Then following the center line of West River Road (Rt. 600) northerly to its intersection with Poplar Landing Road. Then following the centerline of Poplar Landing Road northeasterly to its intersection with Aylett Creek. Then following the centerline of Aylett Creek southerly to its intersection with the Mattaponi River. Then following ~~West River Road (Rt. 600) to its intersection of census block 4070 and following an unnamed tributary to the Mattaponi River.~~ Then following that boundary westerly to its intersection with the Mattaponi River. Then following the Mattaponi River northwesterly to the confluence of Herring Creek.

**Fourth Election District**

***-Manquin Precinct***

From the intersection of King William Road (Rt. 30) **and Cherry Hill Drive (Rt. 1225)** westerly following the centerline of King William Road (Rt. 30) ~~and Cherry Hill Drive (Rt. 1225)~~ to the intersection with Enfield Road (Rt. 610), then southerly along the centerline of Enfield Road to the intersection with unnamed road that is the boundary for Census Blocks ~~1003~~ **1037 and 1004**, ~~Census Block 1008, and Census Block 1010;~~ **then following the boundary between Census Blocks 1037 and 1004** westerly then southerly to its intersection with Webb Creek which forms the boundary between Census Blocks 1004 and 1036. Then following the centerline of Webb Creek westerly to its intersection with an unnamed road forming the boundary of Census Blocks 1032 and 1036, then following said unnamed road southerly to its intersection with ~~Dabneys Mill Rd (Rt. 604).~~ **of the boundary of Census Block 1036 said unnamed road** westerly to its intersection with another unnamed road that serves as the boundary for Census Blocks 1054, 1055 and 1057, then southerly to its intersection with Dabneys Mill Road, following the centerline of Dabneys Mill Road (Rt. 604) to its intersection with Mehixon Creek Lane, then following Mehixon Creek Lane to Mehixon Creek, then to its confluence with the Pamunkey River. **Then following Dabneys Mill Rd southerly to its**

intersection with the boundary of Census Blocks 1052 and 1053, then following this boundary southerly to the Pamunkey River. Then following the centerline of the Pamunkey River southerly to the intersection of Census Blocks 3015 and 3037, then following the boundary of the aforementioned Census Blocks easterly to its intersection with the boundary between Census Blocks 3015 and 3041, then following the boundary between Census Blocks 3041 and 3037 easterly to its intersection with East River Road (Rt. 600). Then following northerly along the centerline of East River Road (Rt. 600) to its intersection with King William Road (Rt. 30). Then following the centerline of King William Road (Rt. 30) northwesterly until its intersection with 2201 and 2212, then following the boundary of the aforementioned Census Blocks to its intersection with Green Level Road (Rt. 621), then northeasterly following the centerline of Green Level Road to its intersection with Mt. Olive-Cohoke Road (Rt. 632). Then following northwesterly along the centerline of Mt. Olive-Cohoke Road (Rt. 632) to its intersection with Jacks Creek Road (Rt. 629) continuing northeasterly along the centerline of Jacks Creek Road to its intersection with Acquinton Church Road (Rt. 618). Then following northwesterly along the centerline of Acquinton Church Road to its intersection with East River Road (Rt. 600). Then following northerly along the centerline of East River Road (Rt. 600) to its intersection with King William Road (Rt. 30). Then following the centerline of King William Road (Rt. 30), northwesterly, to its intersection with Kelley Lane (Rt. 612). Then northwesterly following the centerline of Kelley Lane (Rt. 612) to its intersection with Cherry Hill Drive (Rt. 1225).

**Fifth Election District**  
**-Mangohick Precinct**

From the intersection of the county boundary with Caroline County and the Mattaponi River, downstream along the centerline of the Mattaponi River, then westerly along the centerline of Herring Creek, then westerly along the centerline of Millwood Rd. (Rt. 607) to the intersection with Upshaw Rd. (Rt. 608), then following the centerline of Upshaw Rd. to the intersection with Vessels Road (Rt. 659), then following centerline of Vessels Road (Rt. 659) and along the boundary line of Census Block ~~3018~~ 3011 to centerline of Cherry Hill Drive (Rt. 1225) and to its intersection with King William Road (Rt. 30). Then following the centerline of King William Road (Rt. 30) westerly to its intersection with Enfield Road (Rt. 610). Then southerly following Enfield Rd. to the intersection with unnamed road that is the boundary for Census Block 1010, Census Block 1008, and Census Block 1003, then following said unnamed road to its intersection with another unnamed road that serves as the boundary for Census Blocks 1057, 1055 and 1054, then southerly to its intersection with Dabneys Mill Road, following the centerline of Dabneys Mill Road to its intersection with Mehixon Creek Lane, then following Mehixon Creek Lane to Mehixon Creek, then to its confluence with the Pamunkey River. Then southerly along the centerline of Enfield Road to the intersection with unnamed road that is the boundary for Census Blocks 1037 and 1004, then following the boundary between Census Blocks 1037 and 1004 westerly then southerly to its intersection with Webb Creek which forms the boundary between Census Blocks 1004 and 1036. Then following the centerline of Webb Creek westerly to its intersection with an unnamed road forming the boundary of Census Blocks 1032 and 1036, then following said unnamed road southerly to its intersection with Dabneys Mill Rd (Rt. 604). Then following Dabneys Mill Rd southerly to its intersection with the boundary of Census Blocks 1052 and 1053, then

following this boundary southerly to the Pamunkey River. Then upstream northwesterly along the centerline of the Pamunkey River to its intersection with the county boundary with Caroline County, and then returning to the origin, following the county boundary, at the intersection of the county boundary with Caroline County and the Mattaponi River.

**Precinct Voting Locations**

First – West Point Armory  
110 Thompson Ave – **“West Point”**

Second-A – VFW Post #8356  
1658 VFW Road – **“Sweet Hall”**

Second-B – King William Admin. Bldg.  
180 Horse Landing Road – **“Courthouse”**

Third – King William Vol. Fire & Rescue **Fire and EMS – Station 1**  
7936 Richmond-Tappahannock Hwy. – **“Aylett”**

Fourth – King William High School  
80 Cavalier Drive – **“Manquin”**

Fifth – Mangohick Vol. Fire Dept.  
3493 King William Road – **“Mangohick”**

**Section 3.** One supervisor shall be elected from each Election District as set forth by this Ordinance by the qualified votes of such district.

**Section 4.** Map references are based on Census Bureau digital data incorporated in the King William County geographic information system.

**Section 5.** Should any section or provision of this Ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section and provisions of this Ordinance.

**Section 6.** This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon certification of no objection to be received from the Office of the Attorney General of Virginia.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED AND ENACTED** by the Board of Supervisors of King William County this 28th day of March, 2022 that County Code Article II School Board, Division 2 Elections, Sections 54-52 Election Districts Enumerated, and 54-55 Enumeration of Polling Places are hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 54-52. - Election districts enumerated.**

The election districts with populations set forth are as follows:

Second election district:	<del>2144</del> <b>3,671</b>
Third election district:	<del>2132</del> <b>3,600</b>
Fourth election district:	<del>2271</del> <b>3,411</b>

Fifth election district: 2125 3,714  
At-large election district: 8672 14,396

**Sec. 54-55. - Enumeration of polling places.**

The polling places shall be as follows:

- (1) Election district two: Precinct A—VFW Post #8356  
Precinct B—American Legion Post #314 King William County Admin. Building
- (2) Election district three: King William County Administration Building King William  
Fire & EMS – Station 1
- (3) Election district four: King William High School
- (4) Election district five: Mangohick Baptist Church Mangohick Volunteer Fire Dept.

**ADOPTED** this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2022.

**9.b. Resolution 22-19 - Authorizing the Issuance of a General Obligation School Bond - James Sanderson, Davenport & Co.**

Mr. Sanderson provided a brief overview of the proposed bond.

Supervisor Garber asked if the track replacement had been approved by the Board. Chairman Moren said it was originally on the plan for the following year but was moved forward to take advantage of lower interest rates as proposed by Supervisor Greenwood. Supervisor Greenwood said the Schools would still have to come before the Board to spend the money.

Supervisor Garber asked if the current track could still be used for 3-4 years. Dr. David White, Superintendent of King William County Public Schools, said they are currently filling cracks as they occur and there are issues with drainage. Ms. Staci Longest, Director of Finance for King William County Public Schools, said they are currently spending \$10,000-\$15,000 a year to repair the track.

Vice Chair Hodges asked if the track is dangerous. Dr. White said if someone trips or is injured, it can be dangerous. Vice Chair Hodges asked if anyone had been hurt to date. Dr. White said no. Chairman Moren asked if the County is liable if someone were to be injured. Dr. White said yes.

Supervisor Garber said the current track was installed in 2003 which means it has a 19-year life-span and the financing is for 20 years. He asked if it would have to be done again in 20 years. Dr. White said with proper maintenance, it could last longer.

Supervisor Moskalski confirmed the replacement was being moved forward a year due to market conditions.

Vice Chair Hodges asked if the debt on the entire amount started from day one. Mr. Sanderson said yes.

Dr. White said they are working with contractors now to hit a summer deadline for the work required at AES.

Vice Chair Hodges said they would have to dig up and replace or move the culvert and asked if this will truly fix the problem. Dr. White asked Mr. Tony Stone, Director of Operations, to address the question. Mr. Stone said yes, the current culvert has collapsed and replacing it will fix the problem.

Chairman Moren asked if there were design inefficiencies that caused the current track to fall into disrepair. Ms. Longest said yes, but that was the standard design back then. There are new and improved designs now.

Chairman Moren opened the Public Hearing. There being no speakers, Chairman Moren closed the Public Hearing.

Supervisor Moskalski made a motion to approve Resolution 22-19 - Authorizing the Issuance of a General Obligation School Bond; Supervisor Greenwood seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

#### **RESOLUTION 22-19**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF UP TO \$7,205,000 MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF A GENERAL OBLIGATION SCHOOL BOND OF THE COUNTY OF KING WILLIAM, VIRGINIA TO BE SOLD TO THE VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY FOR PURPOSES OF FINANCING THE DESIGN, ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, AND EQUIPPING OF PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF**

Be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of King William, Virginia:

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors (**the “Board of Supervisors”**) of the County of King William, Virginia (**the “County”**), has determined that it is necessary and expedient to borrow an amount not to exceed \$7,205,000 and to issue its general obligation school bond (**as more specifically defined below, the “Local School Bond”**) for the purpose of financing school capital projects, including, but not limited to, HVAC projects within district school buildings and other capital improvements to school facilities (**together, the “Project”**);

**WHEREAS**, the County held a public hearing, duly noticed, on March 28, 2022, on the issuance of the Local School Bond in accordance with the requirements of Section 15.2-2606, Code of Virginia 1950, as amended (**the “Virginia Code”**);

**WHEREAS**, the School Board of the County (**the “School Board”**) has, by resolution, requested the Board of Supervisors to authorize the issuance of the Local School Bond and consented to the issuance of the Local School Bond;

**WHEREAS**, Virginia Public School Authority (**“VPSA”**) has offered to purchase the Local School Bond along with the local school bonds of certain other localities with a portion of the proceeds of certain bonds to be issued by VPSA in the spring of 2022 (**the “VPSA Bonds”**);

**WHEREAS**, the Bond Sale Agreement (as defined below) shall indicate that \$6,875,000 is the amount of proceeds requested (**the “Proceeds Requested”**) from VPSA in connection with the sale of the Local School Bond;

**WHEREAS**, VPSA's objective is to pay the County a purchase price for the Local School Bond which, in VPSA's judgment, reflects the Local School Bond's market value (**the “VPSA Purchase Price Objective”**), taking into consideration such factors as the amortization schedule the County has requested for the Local School Bond relative to the amortization schedules requested by other localities, the purchase price to be received by VPSA from the sale of the VPSA Bonds and other market conditions relating to the sale of the VPSA Bonds; and

**WHEREAS**, such factors may result in the Local School Bond having a purchase price other than par and consequently (i) the County may have to issue the Local School Bond in a principal amount that is greater than or less than the Proceeds Requested in order to receive an amount of proceeds that is substantially equal to the Proceeds Requested, or (ii) if the maximum authorized principal amount of the Local School Bond set forth in section 1 below does not exceed the Proceeds Requested by at least the amount of any discount, the purchase price to be paid to the County, given the VPSA Purchase Price Objective and market conditions, will be less than the Proceeds Requested.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF KING WILLIAM, VIRGINIA:**

1. **Authorization of Bonds and Use of Proceeds.** The Board of Supervisors hereby determines that it is advisable to contract a debt and issue and sell its general obligation school bond in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,205,000 (**the “Local School Bond”**) for the purpose of financing the Project, which is for public school purposes of the County. The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the issuance and sale of the Local School Bond in the form and upon the terms established pursuant to this Resolution.

2. **Sale of the Local School Bond.** The sale of the Local School Bond, within the parameters set forth in paragraph 4 of this Resolution, to VPSA is authorized. Given the VPSA Purchase Price Objective and market conditions, the County acknowledges that the limitation on the maximum principal amount of the Local School Bond set forth in paragraph 1 of this Resolution restricts VPSA's ability to generate the Proceeds Requested, however, the Local School Bond may be

sold for a purchase price not lower than 95% of the Proceeds Requested. The Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (**together, the “Chairman”**), the County Administrator, or any of them (**each a “Delegate”**) and such other officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to enter into an agreement with VPSA providing for the sale of the Local School Bond to VPSA (**the “Bond Sale Agreement”**). The Bond Sale Agreement shall be in substantially the form submitted to the Board of Supervisors at this meeting, which form is hereby approved, with such completions, insertions, omissions and changes not inconsistent with this Resolution as may be approved by the County officer executing the Bond Sale Agreement.

3. **Details of the Local School Bond.** The Local School Bond shall be dated 16 days prior to the date of its issuance and delivery or such other date designated by VPSA; shall be designated “General Obligation School Bond, Series 2022”; shall bear interest from its dated date payable semi-annually on each January 15 and July 15 beginning January 15, 2023 (each an “Interest Payment Date”), at the rates established in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Resolution; and shall mature on July 15 in the years (each a “Principal Payment Date”) and in the amounts acceptable to a Delegate (the “Principal Installments”), subject to the provisions of paragraph 4 of this Resolution.

4. **Interest Rates and Principal Installments.** Each Delegate is hereby authorized and directed to accept the interest rates on the Local School Bond established by VPSA, provided that each interest rate shall be five one-hundredths of one percent (0.05%) over the interest rate to be paid by VPSA for the corresponding principal payment date of the VPSA Bonds, a portion of the proceeds of which will be used to purchase the Local School Bond, and provided further that the true interest cost of the Local School Bond does not exceed five and fifty one-hundredths percent (5.50%) per annum. The Interest Payment Dates, Principal Payment Dates and the Principal Installments are subject to change at the request of VPSA. Each Delegate is hereby authorized and directed to accept changes in the Interest Payment Dates, the Principal Payment Dates and the Principal Installments at the request of VPSA based on the final term to maturity of the VPSA Bonds, requirements imposed on VPSA by the nationally-recognized rating agencies and the final principal amount of the Local School Bond; provided, however, that the principal amount of the Local School Bond shall not exceed the amount authorized by this Resolution and the final maturity of the Local School Bond shall not exceed 30 years from the date of the issuance and delivery of the Local School Bond. The execution and delivery of the Local School Bond as described in paragraph 8 hereof shall conclusively evidence the approval and acceptance of all of the details of the Local School Bond by the Delegate as authorized by this Resolution.

5. **Form of the Local School Bond.** The Local School Bond shall be initially in the form of a single, temporary typewritten bond substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6. **Payment; Paying Agent and Bond Registrar.** The following provisions shall apply to the Local School Bond:

- (a) For as long as the VPSA is the registered owner of the Local School Bond, all payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on the Local School Bond shall be made in immediately available funds to VPSA at, or before 11:00 a.m. on the applicable Interest Payment Date, Principal Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption, or if such

date is not a business day for Virginia banks or for the Commonwealth of Virginia, then at or before 11:00 a.m. on the business day next succeeding such Interest Payment Date, Principal Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption.

(b) All overdue payments of principal and, to the extent permitted by law, interest shall bear interest at the applicable interest rate or rates on the Local School Bond.

(c) U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, Richmond, Virginia, is designated as Bond Registrar and Paying Agent for the Local School Bond. The County may, in its sole discretion, replace at any time the Bond Registrar with another qualified bank or trust company as successor Bond Registrar and Paying Agent for the Local School Bond. The County shall give prompt notice to VPSA of the appointment of any successor Bond Registrar and Paying Agent.

7. **Redemption or Prepayment.** Unless otherwise directed by VPSA, the Principal Installments of the Local School Bond held by VPSA coming due on or before July 15, 2032, and the definitive bond for which the Local School Bond held by VPSA may be exchanged that mature on or before July 15, 2032, are not subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities. The Principal Installments of the Local School Bond held by VPSA coming due on or after July 15, 2033, and the definitive bond(s) for which the Local School Bond held by VPSA may be exchanged that mature on or after July 15, 2033, are subject to prepayment or redemption at the option of the County prior to their stated maturities in whole or in part, on any date on or after July 15, 2032, upon payment of the prepayment or redemption prices (expressed as percentages of Principal Installments to be prepaid or the principal amount of the Local School Bond to be redeemed) set forth below plus accrued interest to the date set for prepayment or redemption:

<b><u>Dates</u></b>	<b><u>Prices</u></b>
July 15, 2032 through July 14, 2033	101%
July 15, 2033 through July 14, 2034	100½
July 15, 2034 and thereafter	100

Provided, however, that the Principal Installments of the Local School Bond shall not be subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities as described above without first obtaining the written consent of VPSA or other registered owner of the Local School Bond. Notice of any such prepayment or redemption shall be given by the Bond Registrar to VPSA or other registered owner by registered mail not more than ninety (90) and not less than sixty (60) days before the date fixed for prepayment or redemption.

If VPSA refunds the VPSA Bonds in the future and such refunding causes the Local School Bond to be deemed refunded, the prepayment or redemption of the Local School Bond will be subject to VPSA approval and subject to similar prepayment or redemption provisions as set forth above that correspond to the call period of the VPSA Bonds issued in part to refund the Local School Bond.

8. **Execution of the Local School Bond.** The Chairman or Vice-Chairman and the Clerk or any Deputy Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Local School Bond and to affix the seal of the County thereto.

9. **Pledge of Full Faith and Credit.** For the prompt payment of the principal of and premium, if any, and the interest on the Local School Bond as the same shall become due, the full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged, and in each year while any portion of the Local School Bond shall be outstanding there shall be levied and collected in accordance with law an annual ad valorem tax upon all taxable property in the County subject to local taxation sufficient in amount to provide for the payment of the principal of and premium, if any, and the interest on the Local School Bond as such principal, premium, if any, and interest shall become due, which tax shall be without limitation as to rate or amount and in addition to all other taxes authorized to be levied in the County to the extent other funds of the County are not lawfully available and appropriated for such purpose.

10. **Use of Proceeds Certificate and Tax Compliance Agreement.** The Chairman, the County Administrator and such other officer or officers of the County or the School Board as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver on behalf of the County a Use of Proceeds Certificate and Tax Compliance Agreement (**the “Tax Compliance Agreement”**) setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the Local School Bond and containing such covenants as may be necessary in order to show compliance with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (**the “Code”**), and applicable regulations relating to the exclusion from gross income of interest on the VPSA Bonds. The Board of Supervisors covenants on behalf of the County that (i) the proceeds from the issuance and sale of the Local School Bond will be invested and expended as set forth in such Tax Compliance Agreement and that the County shall comply with the other covenants and representations contained therein and (ii) the County shall comply with the provisions of the Code so that interest on the VPSA Bonds will remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

11. **State Non-Arbitrage Program; Proceeds Agreement.** The Board of Supervisors hereby determines that it is in the best interests of the County to authorize and direct the County Finance Director, the County Administrator or the Assistant County Administrator to participate in the State Non-Arbitrage Program in connection with the Local School Bond. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, the County Administrator, the Assistant County Administrator and such officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver a Proceeds Agreement with respect to the deposit and investment of proceeds of the Local School Bond by and among the County, the other participants in the sale of the VPSA Bonds, the VPSA and the investment manager, substantially in the form submitted to the Board of Supervisors at this meeting, which form is hereby approved, and to take such other action as may be necessary for participation in the State Non-Arbitrage Program.

12. **Continuing Disclosure Agreement.** The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, the County Administrator and such officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute a Continuing Disclosure Agreement, as set forth in Appendix D to the Bond Sale Agreement, setting forth the reports and notices to be filed by the County and containing such covenants as may be necessary in order to show compliance with the provisions of

the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and directed to make all filings required by Section 4 of the Bond Sale Agreement should the County be determined by the VPSA to be a MOP (as defined in the Bond Sale Agreement).

13. **Refunding.** The Board of Supervisors hereby acknowledges that VPSA may issue refunding bonds to refund any bonds previously issued by VPSA, including the VPSA Bonds issued to purchase the Local School Bond, and that the purpose of such refunding bonds would be to enable VPSA to pass on annual debt service savings to the local issuers, including the County. Each of the Delegates is authorized to execute and deliver to VPSA such allonge to the Local School Bond, revised debt service schedule, IRS Form 8038-G or such other documents reasonably deemed necessary by VPSA and VPSA's bond counsel to be necessary to reflect and facilitate the refunding of the Local School Bond and the allocation of the annual debt service savings to the County by VPSA. The Clerk of Board of Supervisors is authorized to affix the County's seal on any such documents and attest or countersign the same.

14. **Effectiveness and Filing of Resolution.** The appropriate officers or agents of the County are hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution to be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of the County of King William, Virginia. The filing of this Resolution with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of the County of King William, Virginia shall be deemed to be the filing of an initial resolution or ordinance with such Court for all purposes of the Act. Any resolutions inconsistent herewith previously adopted by the Board of Supervisors are amended to be consistent with this Resolution.

15. **Election to Proceed under Public Finance Act.** In accordance with Section 15.2-2601 of the Virginia Code, the Board of Supervisors elects to issue the Local School Bond under the provisions of the Public Finance Act of 1991, Chapter 26 of Title 15.2 of the Virginia Code.

16. **Further Actions and Ratification.** The members of the Board of Supervisors and all officers, employees and agents of the County are hereby authorized to take such action and enter into and execute such documents, instruments and agreements as they or any one of them may consider necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance and sale of the Local School Bond, including execution of a Paying Agent Agreement with the Bond Registrar and Paying Agent for the Local School Bond. All actions of the officers, employees and agents of the County or the School Board of the County previously taken in furtherance of the purposes of this Resolution, including submitting a financing application to VPSA in connection with the Local School Bond (**the "VPSA Application"**), are hereby approved, confirmed and ratified.

17. **Effective Date.** This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

## **Agenda Item 10. NEW BUSINESS**

### **10.a. Resolution 22-24 - KWCPs Vehicle Purchases Using Split-Levy Funds - Staci M. Longest, Director of Finance - King William County Public Schools**

Ms. Longest said the district is currently in need of adding three and replacing one vehicle in their fleet. They are hoping to purchase low mileage used vehicles using state-contracted vendors and seek approval in an amount not to exceed \$180,000. She said they have a van that leaks oil. They want to replace the van which goes to Richmond every day plus an additional 2004 van which goes to Goochland daily.

Supervisor Garber asked if this purchase was included in the capital plan. Ms. Longest said it is included every year, but they have been in limbo because the audit has not been completed. She said the balance is approximately \$800,000.

Supervisor Garber made a motion to approve Resolution 22-24; Vice Chair Hodges seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**RESOLUTION 22-24**  
**BUDGET AMENDMENT – KING WILLIAM COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS**  
**APPROVAL FOR USE OF SCHOOL RESERVE FUNDS**

**WHEREAS**, on February 15, 2022, the King William County School Board approved the purchase of three additional vehicles and replacement of one vehicle in the King William County Public School fleet at a cost not to exceed \$180,000; and

**WHEREAS**, King William County Public Schools hopes to purchase used vehicles with low mileage ensuring the use of the least possible amount to meet the need; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors wishes to approve the use of King William County Public Schools' School Reserve Funds for the above stated purpose in an amount not to exceed \$180,000; and

**WHEREAS**, with such approval, King William County Public Schools will then attain quoted pricing using state or cooperative contracts, complete a purchase order with the County Finance Director's approval, make the purchases, and send the invoices to the County Finance Department for approval;

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY** the King William County Board of Supervisors that no more than \$180,000 from the KWCPs Reserve Fund is hereby approved and is directed to be transferred to the KWCPs Capital Fund for the above stated purposes.

**DONE** this 28th day of March, 2022.

**10.b. Resolution 22-21 – Declaring April 2022 as Child Abuse Prevention Awareness Month and Wear Blue Day (April 1<sup>st</sup>) – Letecia Loadholt, Director of Social Services**

Ms. Loadholt introduced Mr. Lane with Bikers Against Child Abuse. The group is joining with the King William County Department of Social Services to raise awareness about child abuse during this month.

Ms. Loadholt encouraged the community to increase their awareness on this subject and said a child's life can be changed or saved by increased community awareness. In 2021, there were over 5,240 children in foster care in Virginia. 52.4% are male and 48% are female. Children normally enter the foster care system because of abuse and/or neglect. In King William County, there were 189 referrals of abuse/neglect cases, down from 202 in 2021. People can report suspected abuse/neglect to DSS or the 24-hour Child Protective Services hotline.

April 1<sup>st</sup> is Wear Blue for awareness day and DSS will be holding a small ceremony outside their offices at 11am, to which all are invited.

Supervisor Moskalski made a motion to approve Resolution 22-21; Supervisor Garber seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

Mr. Garber read the Resolution.

### **RESOLUTION 22-21**

#### **PROCLAIMING APRIL 2022 AS NATIONAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION MONTH AND DECLARING APRIL 1, 2022 AS NATIONAL WEAR BLUE DAY TO RAISE AWARENESS**

**WHEREAS**, in state fiscal year 2021, 52,263 children were reported as possible victims of abuse or neglect in Virginia; and

**WHEREAS**, 55 children died as a result of abuse or neglect and 22 cases are pending investigation as of December 15, 2021 in the Commonwealth of Virginia; and

**WHEREAS**, child abuse and neglect is a serious problem affecting every segment of our community, and finding solutions requires input and action from everyone; and

**WHEREAS**, our children are our most valuable resources and will shape the future of King William County and the world; and

**WHEREAS**, child abuse can have long-term psychological, emotional, and physical effects that have lasting consequences for victims of abuse; and

**WHEREAS**, protective factors are conditions that reduce or eliminate risk and promote the social, emotional, and developmental well-being of children; and

**WHEREAS**, effective child abuse prevention activities succeed because of the partnerships created between child welfare professionals, education, health, community- and faith-based organizations, businesses, law enforcement agencies, and families; and

**WHEREAS**, communities must make every effort to promote programs and activities that create strong and thriving children and families; and

**WHEREAS**, we acknowledge that we must work together as a community to increase awareness about child abuse and contribute to promote the social and emotional well-being of children and families in a safe, stable, and nurturing environment; and

**WHEREAS**, prevention remains the best defense for our children and families;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia does hereby proclaim April 2022 as NATIONAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION MONTH and urges all citizens to recognize this month by striving to improve the quality of life for all children and families; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia does hereby declare Friday, April 1, 2022 as NATIONAL WEAR BLUE DAY and urges all citizens to wear blue to help raise awareness about child abuse and neglect and bring attention to prevention efforts and resources available to children, families, caregivers, and educators.

**DONE** this 28th day of March, 2022.

**10.c. Resolution 22-17 - Approving the County Acceptable Use Policy - Travis Wolfe, Systems Engineer**

Mr. Wolfe presented the Acceptable Use Policy. Chairman Moren asked if there would be staff training to accompany the policy before they signed. Mr. Wolfe said yes.

Supervisor Moskalski made a motion to approve Resolution 22-17; Supervisor Garber seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**RESOLUTION 22-17**  
**AMENDMENT TO THE KING WILLIAM COUNTY PERSONNEL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES MANUAL REGARDING THE ADDITION OF AN ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY**

**WHEREAS**, The Board of Supervisors adopted the King William County Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual (Manual) by Resolution 15-53 on December 14, 2015 effective January 1, 2016; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board amended the Manual by Resolution 18-66 on October 22, 2018 effective November 1, 2018; Resolution 19-54 on August 26, 2019 effective September 1, 2019; Resolution 20-09 on February 24, 2020 effective July 1, 2020; Resolution 20-28R on May 18, 2020 effective March 1, 2020; and Resolution 21-76 on October 25, 2021 effective November 1, 2021; and

**WHEREAS**, a well-protected computer network enables King William County to easily handle the increasing dependence on the Internet; and

**WHEREAS**, successfully protecting the computer network integrates information that is secure from all aspects of the organization, protects the employee and the organization, and reduces risks including attacks, compromise of network systems and services, and legal issues; and

**WHEREAS**, County staff has recommended and presented to the Board of Supervisors an “Acceptable Use Policy” attached herein as Exhibit A which the Board reviewed and discussed on March 14, 2022 and March 28, 2022;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia that the attached Acceptable Use Policy is approved for all employees, contractors, consultants, temporary employees, and other workers requiring access to the King William County network and excludes all parties associated with the Sheriff’s and Commonwealth’s Attorney’s offices pursuant to their own policies; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the attached Acceptable Use Policy is approved for incorporation into the King William County Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual.

**DONE** this 28th day of March, 2022.

## KING WILLIAM COUNTY ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY (AUP)

*Reference: (Security Awareness and Information Assurance). A well-protected network enables organizations to easily handle the increasing dependence on the Internet. For an organization to be successful, it needs to integrate information that is secure from all aspects of the organization. The purpose of this policy is to outline the acceptable use of computer equipment within King William County. These rules are in place to protect the employee and the organization. Inappropriate use exposes the county to risks including attacks, compromise of network systems and services, and legal issues. This policy applies to all employees, contractors, consultants, temporary employees, and other workers requiring access to the King William County network. This excludes all parties associated with the Sheriff's and Commonwealth's Attorney's offices pursuant to their own policies.*

1. **Understanding.** I understand that I have the primary responsibility to safeguard the information contained in the King William County Network (KWCNET) from unauthorized or inadvertent use, modification, disclosure, destruction, and denial of service by following the guidelines set forth in this document.
2. **Access.** Access to this network is for official use and authorized purposes including Personal Essential matters.
  - 2.1. Personal Essential. – Matters involving medical, day care, or other critically essential matters.
3. **Revocability.** Access to King William County (KWC) Information Systems (IS) resources is a revocable privilege and is subject to content monitoring and security testing.
4. **Information processing.** The KWCNET is the primary IS for county staff, Board of Supervisors (BOS), and committee members. The KWCNET provides communication to state and other local Government organizations. Primarily, this is done via electronic mail and Internet networking protocols such as Web Access, Virtual Private Network, and Terminal Server Access Controller Systems (TSACS).
  - 4.1. The KWCNET and the Internet, for the purpose of this AUP, are synonymous. E-mail and attachments are vulnerable to interception as they traverse the KWCNET and Internet, as well as all inbound/outbound data, external threats (e.g. worms, denial of service, hacker) and internal threats.
  - 4.2. S/MIME Encryption:
    - 4.2.1. Clear text emails must not contain sensitive information. If sensitive information must be communicated using email, the email must be encrypted.
5. **User Minimum-security rules and requirements.** The following minimum-security rules and requirements apply to all KWC computer users.
  - 5.1. I will protect my logon credentials (passwords or passphrases). Passwords will consist of at least eight (8), nonblank, characters with at least two (2) characters of the following four (4) character types; Special characters, Alphabetical characters, Numerical characters, or Combination of uppercase and lower-case letters.
  - 5.2. My network user account is not to be shared with others.

## KING WILLIAM COUNTY ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY (AUP)

- 5.3. Passwords should be changed at least every six months and should not include easily guessable text such as variations on local sports teams, pet names, spousal/child names, or organization names. Long two-part phrases such as Summer!RiverTime\$ are encouraged.
- 5.4. I will lock my computer when I am not in a position where I can physically view the device.
  - Locking the computer can be accomplished by clicking the windows button  + L at the same time.
- 5.5. I will restart the computer when departing for the day.
- 5.6. I will use only authorized hardware and software on the KWC networks. Freeware and Open-Source software must be approved by IT Director.
- 5.7. To protect the systems against viruses or spamming, I will notify IT and they will use virus-checking procedures before uploading or accessing information from any system, diskette, attachment, compact disk, or other storage media.
- 5.8. I will not alter, change, configure, or use operating systems, programs, or IS except as specifically authorized.
- 5.9. I will not introduce executable code (such as, but not limited to, .exe, .com, .vbs, or .bat files) without authorization, nor will I write malicious code.
- 5.10. I will not utilize KWC provided IS for commercial financial gain, personal or illegal activities.
- 5.11. Maintenance will be performed by authorized personnel only.
- 5.12. Only county provided storage devices should be used. Do not use personal storage devices (ie. personal USB drive).
- 5.13. I will immediately report any suspicious output, files, shortcuts, or system problems to the KWC Information Technology Department (ITD) and/or immediate supervisor and cease all activities on the system.
- 5.14. I will address any questions regarding the ISP to the ITD.
- 5.15. I understand that each electronic issued device is the property of King William County and is provided to me for official and authorized use.
- 5.16. I understand that monitoring of KWCNET will be conducted for various purposes and information captured during monitoring may be used for possible adverse administrative, disciplinary, or criminal actions. I understand that the following activities are prohibited uses of an KWC IS:
  - 5.16.1. Personal Use of County-owned laptops, computers unless considered "Personal Essential".
  - 5.16.2. Unethical use (e.g., Spam, profanity, sexual misconduct, gaming, extortion).

**KING WILLIAM COUNTY  
ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY (AUP)**

- 5.16.3. Accessing and showing unauthorized sites (e.g., pornography, streaming videos, E-Bay, chatrooms).
- 5.16.4. Any use that could cause congestion, delay, degradation, or disruption of service to any of the County's IS or equipment is unacceptable.
- 5.16.5. Unauthorized sharing of information that is deemed proprietary or not releasable (e.g., use of keywords, phrases or data identification).
- 5.17. I understand that I may use a KWC IS email for limited personal communications or "personal essential" matters.
- 5.18. KWC provides Guest WiFi for the use of personal devices. Staff is permitted to use personal devices on the Guest WiFi system. Guest Wifi is permitted before or after duty hours, break periods, or lunch time, or as permitted by their supervisor as long as they do not cause an adverse impact on official duties; are of reasonable duration and causes no adverse reflection on KWC. Unacceptable use of services or policy violations may be a basis for disciplinary actions and denial of services for any user.
- 5.19. Files that contain Personal Identification Information (PII) shall be encrypted using the Encrypted File System (EFS). Users can view the "How To Encrypt And Decrypt Files Using The Encrypting File System (EFS) On Windows" instructional video at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FihUbybPHhE> or someone from the ITD can assist with this task.
  - 5.19.1. PII is outlined as follows
    - 5.19.1.1. A Virginia resident's first name or first initial and last name in combination with and linked to any one or more of the following data elements, when the data elements are neither encrypted nor redacted: Social Security number; driver's license number or state identification card number issued in lieu of a driver's license number; financial account number, or credit card or debit card number, in combination with any required security code, access code, or password that would permit access to a resident's financial accounts; passport number; or military identification number. Personal information does not include information that is lawfully obtained from publicly available information, or from federal, state, or local government records lawfully made available to the general public (Va. Code § 18.2-186.6(A)).
- 6. By signing this document, I acknowledge and consent that when I access the King William County information systems:
  - 6.1. I am accessing an official information system (IS) (which includes any device attached to this information system) that is provided for KWC Government authorized use only.
  - 6.2. I consent to the following conditions:
    - 6.2.1. The KWC Government routinely intercepts and monitors communications on this information system for purposes including, but not limited to, penetration testing,

**KING WILLIAM COUNTY  
ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY (AUP)**

communications security (COMSEC) monitoring, network operations, personnel misconduct (PM), and law enforcement (LE).

- 6.2.2. Communications using data stored on KWC Government IS are not private, are subject to routine monitoring, interception, and search, and may be disclosed or used for any KWC Government-authorized purpose.
- 6.2.3. This IS implements security measures (e.g., authentication and access controls) to protect KWC Government interests, not for my personal benefit or privacy.
- 6.2.4. Notwithstanding the above, using an information system does not constitute consent to personnel misconduct, law enforcement, or investigative searching or monitoring of the content of privileged communications or data (including work product) that are related to personal representation or services by attorneys, psychotherapists, or clergy, and their assistants. Under these circumstances, such communications and work product are private and confidential, as explained below:
  - 6.2.4.1. Users should take reasonable steps to identify such communications or data that the user asserts are protected by any such privilege or confidentiality. However, the user's identification or assertion of a privilege or confidentiality is not sufficient to create such protection where none exists under established legal standards.
- 6.3. Violation of the Information Security Policy or the AUP may result in users' removal from the KWCNET and KWC information technology computers or mobile devices.

Print Name	Signature
Department	Date

**KING WILLIAM COUNTY  
ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY (AUP)**

**ADDENDUM ITEMS**

**Items 1 and 2 require individual signature and date of the user.**

**These items are applicable to those individuals using such services/devices/access.**

1. **Remote access.** Remote access will be conducted via terminal server access controller system (TSACS), virtual private network (VPN), or outlook web access (OWA). Government-owned hardware and software will be used. The employee is the only individual authorized to use this equipment. Access will be as authorized by the supervisor. Requirements as indicated throughout this AUP are applicable for access to KWC resources.
  
2. **Mobile devices.**
  - a. This area applies to KWC-issued cell phones, tablets, and laptops.
  
  - b. I will be held responsible for damage caused to a KWC Government system or data through negligence or a willful act.
  
  - c. I am aware of the following risks when utilizing the SMS (Text) service:
    - (1) Messages are not encrypted, and copies are stored in memory on the phone and in the wireless carrier database. Sensitive information should not be sent via SMS/Text/Messages/Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS).
    - (2) URL to hacker web sites can be sent to a SMS/Text Message/MMS. If a user connects to the Uniform Resource Locator (URL), malware could be downloaded on the phone.
    - (3) Executable files (including malware) can be embedded in SMS/TextMessage/MMS.
    - (4) Photos sent via SMS/Text Messages/MMS can include URLs to hacker web sites embedded in the photo. When the photo is viewed, the phone will connect to web site of the embedded website.

---

Signature

---

Date

**10.d. Ordinance 03-22 - Dangerous Dog Legislation Update - Andrew McRoberts, County Attorney**

Mr. McRoberts said changes have been made to the State Code. Since there is a parallel ordinance in County Code, it must be updated to reflect these changes. The other option is to remove the parallel ordinance from the County Code. The new ordinance makes the procedure clearer and removes the distinction between a dangerous dog and a vicious dog.

Vice Chair Hodges asked how many days people had to get their dogs chipped. Mr. McRoberts said the courts deal with that.

Supervisor Garber asked if the dog has to break the skin. Mr. McRoberts said yes, it has to be a serious bite.

Chairman Moren asked if someone who was dog sitting could be liable. Mr. McRoberts said “owner” is defined in the code and includes custodians.

Supervisor Garber asked if a person is responsible if a stray dog on their property bites someone. Mr. McRoberts said there is not a specific number of days; if you are providing care and food, you are a custodian.

Supervisor Moskalski said the code says a person has 30 days to get their dog chipped. He said the definition of custodian is still there, it is in another section of the code.

Supervisor Garber asked if the leash law was local or state. Mr. McRoberts said they are County ordinances allowed by State code.

Commonwealth’s Attorney, Matthew Kite, said dog owners have 30 days to get their dogs chipped after a hearing. Sheriff Walton said the State law says 3 days in lines 76-77 of the draft ordinance. Mr. Kite said that is if animal control is retaining the animal. A dog at a shelter or on “house arrest” may be required to be chipped in three days pre-trial or 30 days during the trial.

Supervisor Garber asked if an owner has to inform the court if they move. Mr. Kite said yes.

Vice Chair Hodges asked who regulates the leash law in subdivisions. Sheriff Walton said some subdivisions have year-round lease laws.

Supervisor Garber asked if McCauley Park was included. Sheriff Walton said he did not know. Residents have to petition the Board of Supervisors to be added to the list. After further review, Sheriff Walton said McCauley Park is not included and they would have to petition the Board and an ordinance would have to be passed to add them to the County code.

In order to change the County's parallel dangerous dog ordinance as recommended, a public hearing would have to be advertised and held. By consensus of the Board, the Public Hearing will be advertised for and take place on April 25<sup>th</sup>.

### **Agenda Item 11. FY '23 BUDGET DISCUSSION**

#### **11.a. Delinquent Tax Collection Update – Mary Sue Bancroft, Treasurer**

This item was skipped due to Ms. Bancroft being ill.

#### **11.b. Personal Property Tax Reduction Options – Steve Hudgins, Deputy County Administrator**

Mr. Hudgins gave a summary of personal property tax reduction options available to the Board. Staff recommends utilizing an assessment ratio.

Supervisor Garber asked if Westmoreland County is raising their PPTRA. Mr. Hudgins said they are currently considering three options.

Vice Chair Hodges asked how the Board will determine the ratio. Mr. Hudgins said once vehicles values are known, we can see the percentage of increase and lower the assessment ratio accordingly.

Supervisor Greenwood asked why we can't change the license fee. Mr. Hudgins said it's unclear what that amount would be.

Supervisor Moskalski said Supervisor Greenwood wants to do both.

Supervisor Garber asked if there were 17,800 vehicles in the County. Mr. Hudgins said yes. Mr. Ashcraft said the amount from vehicle license fees is projected at \$425,000.

Supervisor Moskalski said doing away with the license fee is like taking five cents off the tax rate for the schools and they would then not be able to fully fund them. He prefers taking the additional penny off the real estate tax rate and adjusting the assessment value on PPT.

The Commissioner of the Revenue is waiting on values from the DMV download.

Vice Chair Hodges said he is good with reducing the real estate tax by 2.5 cents and adjusting the PPT assessment.

Supervisor Moskalski asked if classes would be delineated. Mr. Hudgins said yes, that is how other localities are doing it. They are discounting only the personal use class of vehicles.

Vice Chair Hodges asked if this would add complications on top of the other problems the Commissioner of the Revenue's office is already having. Ms. Funkhouser, Commissioner of the Revenue, said she didn't know. The change would be made across the board.

The general consensus of the Board is to agree to decreasing the PPT assessment ratio.

**11.c. Consideration of Further Reducing Real Property Tax Rate for King William County Public Schools - Percy C. Ashcraft, County Administrator**

Mr. Ashcraft provided information from the Director of Financial Services on the ramifications of reducing the real estate tax for KWCPs by another penny.

Vice Chair Hodges said the reduction would leave them a bit extra due to federal funding. Ms. Longest, KWCPs Director of Finance, said the state budget has not been finalized yet.

Supervisor Garber asked when they would hear. Ms. Longest said it depends on what the General Assembly gets done when they return on April 4<sup>th</sup>.

Supervisor Garber asked when the cut-off date is. Mr. Ashcraft said June 30<sup>th</sup>.

Ms. Longest said pay increases and the capital fund for the Hamilton-Holmes Middle School project are at risk. She said there are some differences between the House and Senate budgets relating to lottery revenues.

Supervisor Moskalski asked if there was anything the County would need to cover if the State budget amount falls. Vice Chair Hodges asked about fuel. Ms. Longest said they are \$65,000 over budget for fuel so far. They had budgeted \$50,000 and will have to reallocate it from somewhere else.

Mr. Ashcraft said the Public Hearing for the tax rate is scheduled for April 11<sup>th</sup> and deliberation and adoption can begin on April 25<sup>th</sup>. He said the sooner the tax rate is adopted, the sooner the Commissioner of the Revenue and Treasurer can begin preparing bills.

Supervisor Moskalski said it doesn't depend on the Governor, it depends on the Board of Supervisors.

**Agenda Item 12. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS FROM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

**12.a. Administration Report - Percy C. Ashcraft, County Administrator**

Mr. Ashcraft highlighted items from his updated report including an Economic Development Authority Planning Session scheduled for Saturday, April 23<sup>rd</sup>, at 9am in the Board Room.

The jailhouse roof replacement has been completed. The foliage test for the Public Safety Radio System upgrade is scheduled for the week of May 23<sup>rd</sup>. He thanked the Board members for coming to the Little League's opening day and noted the many departmental reports included for their review,

**Agenda Item 13. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS**

Supervisor Moskalski thanked people for coming.

Vice Chair Hodges said every day they hold court, attorneys come and he doesn't think any of them have a King William County business license.

Supervisor Garber said the Little League game was fun. He thanked people for coming and thanked Mr. Campbell for the recent article he wrote for the Country Courier.

Supervisor Greenwood said there was a good crowd and thanked people for coming.

Chairman Moren said it was good to acknowledge EMS and Wear Blue Day. He said it was a good day at the ballpark and there were about 500 people there.

**Agenda Item 14. CLOSED MEETING**

**14.a. Motion to Convene Closed Meeting**

Supervisor Moskalski made a motion to convene in Closed Meeting in accordance with Section 2.2-3711 (A)(29) of the Code of Virginia to discuss the terms or scope of a public contract, because discussion in open session would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the Board; and in accordance with Section 2.2-3711 (A)(7) to consult with legal counsel, consultants, and/or staff on a matter of probable litigation in which the County may become involved because discussion in an open meeting may adversely affect the litigation position or negotiating strategy of the Board. Vice Chair Hodges seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**14.b. Motion to Reconvene in Open Session**

Supervisor Moskalski made a motion to reconvene in Open Session. Supervisor Greenwood seconded the motion. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**14.c. Certification of Closed Meeting**

Vice Chair Hodges moved for adoption of Standing Resolution 1 (SR-1) in accordance with Section 2.2-3712 (D) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended; the motion was seconded by Supervisor Moskalski. The Chairman called for any discussion. The members were polled:

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: Stephen K. Greenwood	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: William L. Hodges – Vice Chair	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. – Chairman	Aye

**STANDING RESOLUTION – 1 (SR-1)**  
**A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING**

**WHEREAS**, the King William County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and,

**WHEREAS**, Section 2.2-3712 (D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the King William County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the King William County Board of Supervisors on this 28th day of March, 2022, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member’s knowledge:

1. Only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, by the King William County Board of Supervisors.
2. Only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

**DONE** this the 28th day of March, 2022.

**14.d. Action on Closed Meeting (if necessary)**

No action was taken as a result of the Closed Meeting.

**Agenda Item 15. APPOINTMENTS**

No appointments were made.

**Agenda Item 16. ADJOURN OR RECESS**

Supervisor Garber made a motion to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Vice Chair Hodges. The Chairman called for any discussion. All were in favor with none opposing.

COPY TESTE:

Edwin H. Moren, Jr., Chairman  
Board of Supervisors

---

Christine H. Branch  
Deputy Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

## ATTACHMENT A

Subject: FY 23 Budget Considerations (public comment – 3/28/2022)  
To: King William Board of Supervisors (BoS)  
From: Bob Ehrhart  
Date: March 28, 2022  
Copies: County Administrator, Commissioner of Revenue (CoR)

Gentleman, I offer the following public comments for the March 28 Board Meeting.

- 1) As a general statement, the budget for King William County should not increase or include ANY new expenditure (such as a line item for a farmers market).
- 2) King William should eliminate forested land use as a tax exemption. In 2020 and using data from 2018 (CoR), this single action would generate an additional \$637K in revenue for the County (see TABLE 1). This action aligns King William with both Hanover and King & Queen. *Note: 27% of counties in Virginia have already eliminated land use tax exemption; an even higher percentage of counties have eliminated a single category such as this one.*
- 3) King William should eliminate exemptions for agribusiness machinery/tool taxes, as already does Essex and King & Queen. This action would generate and additional ~\$123K in revenue for the County (see TABLE 1).
- 4) Given the budget includes about \$5 more than required by the DOE Standards of Quality (see TABLE 2), the King William County BoS should reduce that amount of funds appropriated to the public schools by \$1 million.
- 5) Should the BoS choose not to eliminate forested land use exemptions, the County (CoR) should recalculate the current rate (i.e. use-value assessments) consistent with the law and State Land Evaluation Advisory Council (SLEAC).
- 6) Simply implementing items 2 and 4 should allow a reduction in residential property rates by about \$0.20/\$100 value.
- 7) Resolution 22-22 and 22-23 should not be approved along with the use of the unassigned fund balance (tax payer fleeing)

TABLE 1

<b>Machine &amp; Tool Tax for Agribusiness</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>\$1.10/ \$100 value</b>	<b>**</b>	<b>\$106,000 **</b>	<b>\$106,000</b>
<b>Machine &amp; Tool Tax for Agribusiness</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>\$1.20/ of original effective rate - \$0.60/\$100</b>	<b>***</b>	<b>\$140,445***</b>	<b>\$140,445</b>
						<b>\$123,223</b>
<b>Forested Land Use</b>	<b>\$612/acre</b>	<b>\$329,243</b>	<b>.88/\$100 value</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>\$966,240</b>	<b>\$636,997</b>
						<b>\$760,220</b>
					* based on 61,000 acres x .0088 x \$1,800 average value	
					** K&Q amount as a place holder	
					** Essex amount as a place holder	

TABLE 2

<b>Locality</b>	<b>* FY 20 Local Appropriation</b>	<b>* FY 20 SOQ Min. Effort Amt.</b>	<b>Local *FY 20 \$ in excess of min. effort</b>	<b>Tax Rate (4)</b>	<b>Land Use Tax Exemption</b>
<b>KW (1)</b>	<b>\$10.0</b>	<b>\$5.0</b>	<b>\$5.0</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>AG, F</b>
<b>KQ (2)</b>	<b>\$4.4</b>	<b>\$2.6</b>	<b>\$1.8</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>None</b>
<b>Hanover (3)</b>	<b>\$91.7</b>	<b>\$52.0</b>	<b>\$39.7</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>AG</b>
<b>(1) information from KW director of finance and VA DOE FOIA</b>					
<b>(2) information from KQ school finance director</b>					
<b>(3) information from Hanover school finance director</b>					
<b>(4) per \$100 of assessed value</b>				<b>* MILLIONS of Dollars</b>	
<b>AG - Agriculture; F -Forested</b>					